

Department of Education Reauthorization

(It should happen every five years per law – which includes the Impact Aid Program)

- **Years of Reauthorization** **1994** **2002** **2003** **2016**
- **1994 Reauthorization (Congress told NAFIS to make it “needs based.”) LOT formula was created.**
 - % of budget + % of federal students = **LOT** percentage.
 - Eliminated super A’s and B’s which hurt most Oklahoma districts.
 - Indian Lands students weight increased to 1.25 vs. 1.00 for military students.
 - Major cost adjustment to the program.
- **1999 through 2002 NAFIS works on authorization**
 - Main topic was how to correct the loses to the Indian Lands in Oklahoma.
 - How to streamline the heavily impacted section of the Impact Aid law.
 - Increase weight for military off-base students.
 - Help with Indian Lands Indian Policy and Procedures (IPP) issues.
 - Get rid of equalization.

- **2002 Reauthorization of Impact Aid**

- The reauthorization of Impact Aid was ready and needed to go through the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) – 9/11 changed everything, the focus was on military children.
- **LOT** formula was cemented in Congress.
- Created a small school adjustment to increase **LOT** to 40% (\$16 million).
- Got rid of section (f) of the Impact Aid laws and created (b)(2).
- **Military off-base child weight increased to .2 from .1.**
- Changes to the IPP Program installed.
- No changes in equalization.

- **2003 Congress reauthorized all of education funding including all of Impact Aid**

- Funding is solid in the Impact Aid Program.

- **MID 2000's – Oklahoma schools, San Diego USD and Davis County, Utah – form NCIS to compete with NAFIS**

- NCIS would focus on getting rid of **LOT** – help low **LOT** districts.
- Change distribution formula (payout over 100% **LOT**) – **huge issue.**
- **Impact Aid Program is well funded and pays at 153% of LOT.**

- **Late 2000's**

- NAFIS tries numerous changes to the Impact Aid Program to eliminate parts of the law.
- NAFIS can defeat each attempt to change the funding formula.
- NAFIS forms mid to low **LOT** groups to combat NCIS.
- Funding takes a dive and by 2013 and no longer paying above 100% of **LOT**.
- 100% of **LOT** districts are no longer receiving full payout.

- **2016 Reauthorization**

- NAFIS changes payout of distribution over 100% of **LOT** (equal).
- MISA puts Hold Harmless in place for large losses of Impact Aid funding.
- Equalization concerns remain.
- No weight changes in the Impact Aid Program.
- Set solid (b)(2) rules to qualify.

- **Since 2016**

- NCIS dissolves and several districts come back to NAFIS.
- Mid to low **LOT** made a subgroup – what happens with the Impact Aid law?
- Equalization has pretty much disappeared by state law.